

Town Board Minutes

The Municipal Review Committee

October 3, 2016

Meeting No. 28

A joint meeting of the Town Board and the Planning Board of the Town of Lancaster, New York, acting as the Municipal Review Committee, was held at the Lancaster Town Hall, 21 Central Avenue, Lancaster, New York on the 3rd day of October 2016, at 6:00 PM and there were

PRESENT: JOHN ABRAHAM, COUNCIL MEMBER
DAWN GACZEWSKI, COUNCIL MEMBER
RONALD RUFFINO, COUNCIL MEMBER
MATTHEW WALTER, COUNCIL MEMBER
JOHANNA COLEMAN, SUPERVISOR
REBECCA ANDERSON, PLANNING BOARD MEMBER
ANTHONY GORSKI, PLANNING BOARD MEMBER
JOSEPH KEEFE, PLANNING BOARD MEMBER
LAWRENCE KORZENIEWSKI, PLANNING BOARD MEMBER
KRISTIN MCCRACKEN, PLANNING BOARD MEMBER
MELVIN SZYMANSKI, PLANNING BOARD MEMBER
NEIL CONNELLY, PLANNING BOARD CHAIRMAN

ABSENT: NONE

ALSO PRESENT: DIANE TERRANOVA, TOWN CLERK
KEVIN LOFTUS, TOWN ATTORNEY
MATTHEW FISCHIONE, CODE ENFORCEMENT OFFICER
ROBERT HARRIS, ENGINEER, WM. SCHUTT & ASSOCIATES

PURPOSE OF MEETING:

This joint meeting of the Town Board and Planning Board of the Town of Lancaster was held for the purpose of acting as a Municipal Review Committee for two (2) actions.

**IN THE MATTER OF THE STATE ENVIRONMENTAL QUALITY
REVIEW (SEQR) OF THE**

APPLE RUBBER EXPANSION

The Municipal Review Committee reviewed the Long Environmental Assessment Form on the Apple Rubber Expansion matter with an item for item review and discussion of the project impact and magnitude as outlined on the Long Environmental Assessment Form entitled “Part 2 Project Impacts and Their Magnitude” which was provided to each member.

PLEASE TAKE NOTICE, that the Municipal Review Committee of the Town of Lancaster, acting as an advisory committee to provide input to the Town Board, the designated lead agency under the State Environmental Quality Review Act (SEQRA), has reviewed the following described proposed action, which is an Type 1 action, and that committee recommends that there are no significant adverse environmental impacts relative to the criteria found in 6 NYCRR § 617.7, and further recommends that the lead agency issue a Negative Declaration for the purposes of Article 8 of the Environmental Conservation Law in accordance with § 617.12.

NAME AND ADDRESS OF LEAD AGENCY

Lancaster Town Board
21 Central Avenue
Lancaster, New York 14086
Kevin Loftus, Town Attorney
716-684-3342

NATURE, EXTENT AND LOCATION OF ACTION:

The proposed development is of a parcel involving approximately 33.3643 acres.

The location of the premises being reviewed is Cemetery Road, Lancaster, New York 14086, Erie County.

This project is described as a proposed light manufacturing building. One story, 18,888 square feet with associated access drives and parking.

THE FOLLOWING MOTION WAS OFFERED
BY PLANNING BOARD MEMBER MCCRACKEN,
WHO MOVED ITS ADOPTION, SECONDED BY
COUNCIL MEMBER ABRAHAM, TO WIT:

That the Municipal Review Committee has reviewed the potential environmental impacts associated with the Apple Rubber Expansion project identified in the Environmental Assessment Form, and, under the criteria for determining significance identified in 6 NYCRR § 617.7(c)(1) and in accordance with 6 NYCRR § 617.7(c)(2) and (3) for the reasons indicated below based on the discussion of each criterion specified in 6 NYCRR § 617.7(c)(1), the Project will not have a significant adverse impact on the environment and therefore recommends that the Town Board finds that there are no significant adverse impacts from the proposed project, and issue the following Negative Declaration.

REASONS SUPPORTING RECOMMENDATION

1. **Impact on land - No impact.**
2. **Impact on Geological Features – No impact.**
3. **Impacts on Surface Water – Small impact.**
 - The proposed action involves construction within an adjoining wetland.
4. **Impact on Groundwater – No impact.**
5. **Impact on Flooding – No impact.**
6. **Impact on Air – No impact.**
7. **Impact on Plants and Animals – No impact.**
8. **Impact on Agricultural Resources – No impact.**
9. **Impact on Aesthetic Resources – No impact.**
10. **Impact on Historic and Archeological Resources – No impact.**
11. **Impact on Open Space and Recreation – No impact.**
12. **Impact on Critical Environmental Areas – N/A**
 - The Town of Lancaster has not established a Critical Environmental Area (CEA).
13. **Impact on Transportation – No impact.**
14. **Impact on Energy – No impact.**
15. **Impact on Noise, Odor and Light – No impact.**
16. **Impact on Human Health – No impact.**
17. **Consistency with Community Plans – No impact**
18. **Consistency with Community Character – No impact.**

and,

BE IT FURTHER

RESOLVED, that the MRC’s recommendation be sent to the Town Board, for its review and consideration as the lead agency for the Action.

The question of the adoption of the foregoing Recommendation was duly put to a vote which resulted as follows:

COUNCIL MEMBER ABRAHAM	VOTED	YES
COUNCIL MEMBER GACZEWSKI	VOTED	YES
COUNCIL MEMBER RUFFINO	VOTED	YES
COUNCIL MEMBER WALTER	VOTED	YES
SUPERVISOR COLEMAN	VOTED	YES
PLANNING BOARD MEMBER ANDERSON	VOTED	YES
PLANNING BOARD MEMBER GORSKI	VOTED	YES
PLANNING BOARD MEMBER KEEFE	VOTED	YES
PLANNING BOARD MEMBER KORZENIEWSKI	VOTED	YES
PLANNING BOARD MEMBER MCCRACKEN	VOTED	YES
PLANNING BOARD MEMBER SZYMANSKI	VOTED	YES
PLANNING BOARD CHAIRMAN CONNELLY	VOTED	YES

The Motion to Recommend was thereupon adopted.

October 3, 2016

**IN THE MATTER OF THE STATE ENVIRONMENTAL QUALITY
REVIEW (SEQR) OF THE**

MOHAWK CELL TOWER BUF-602B

The Municipal Review Committee reviewed the Long Environmental Assessment Form on the Mohawk Cell Tower BUF-602B matter with an item for item review and discussion of the project impact and magnitude as outlined on the Long Environmental Assessment Form entitled “Part 2 Project Impacts and Their Magnitude” which was provided to each member.

PLEASE TAKE NOTICE, that the Municipal Review Committee of the Town of Lancaster, acting as an advisory committee to provide input to the Town Board, the designated lead agency under the State Environmental Quality Review Act (SEQRA), has reviewed the following described proposed action, which is an Type 1 action, and that committee recommends that there are no significant adverse environmental impacts relative to the criteria found in 6 NYCRR § 617.7, and further recommends that the lead agency issue a Negative Declaration for the purposes of Article 8 of the Environmental Conservation Law in accordance with § 617.12.

NAME AND ADDRESS OF LEAD AGENCY

Lancaster Town Board
21 Central Avenue
Lancaster, New York 14086
Kevin Loftus, Town Attorney
716-684-3342

NATURE, EXTENT AND LOCATION OF ACTION:

The proposed development is of a parcel involving approximately 1.06 acres.

The location of the premises being reviewed is Mohawk Place, Lancaster, New York 14086, Erie County.

This project is described as a proposed 160' self-support tower and associated telecommunications equipment in proposed 50' X 50' fenced compound.

THE FOLLOWING MOTION WAS OFFERED BY
PLANNING BOARD MEMBER KORZENIEWSKI, WHO
MOVED ITS ADOPTION, SECONDED BY PLANNING
BOARD MEMBER MCCRACKEN, TO WIT:

That the Municipal Review Committee has reviewed the potential environmental impacts associated with the Mohawk Cell Tower BUF-602B project identified in the Environmental Assessment Form, and, under the criteria for determining significance identified in 6 NYCRR § 617.7(c)(1) and in accordance with 6 NYCRR § 617.7(c)(2) and (3) for the reasons indicated below based on the discussion of each criterion specified in 6 NYCRR § 617.7(c)(1), the Project will not have a significant adverse impact on the environment and therefore recommends that the Town Board finds that there are no significant adverse impacts from the proposed project, and issue the following Negative Declaration.

REASONS SUPPORTING RECOMMENDATION

1. **Impact on land - Small Impact.**
 - The proposed action may involve construction on land where depth to water table is less than 3 feet.
2. **Impact on Geological Features – No impact.**
3. **Impacts on Surface Water – Small impact.**
 - The proposed action involves construction within an adjoining freshwater wetland.
4. **Impact on Groundwater – No impact.**
5. **Impact on Flooding – No impact.**
6. **Impact on Air – No impact.**
7. **Impact on Plants and Animals – No impact.**
8. **Impact on Agricultural Resources – No impact.**
9. **Impact on Aesthetic Resources – No impact.**
10. **Impact on Historic and Archeological Resources – No impact.**
11. **Impact on Open Space and Recreation – No impact.**
12. **Impact on Critical Environmental Areas – N/A**
 - The Town of Lancaster has not established a Critical Environmental Area (CEA).
13. **Impact on Transportation – No impact.**
14. **Impact on Energy – No impact.**
15. **Impact on Noise, Odor and Light – No impact.**
16. **Impact on Human Health – No impact.**
17. **Consistency with Community Plans – Small impact.**
 - The proposed action's land use components may be different from, or in sharp contrast to, current surrounding land use pattern(s).
18. **Consistency with Community Character – No impact.**

and,

BE IT FURTHER

RESOLVED, that the MRC’s recommendation be sent to the Town Board, for its review and consideration as the lead agency for the Action.

The question of the adoption of the foregoing Recommendation was duly put to a vote which resulted as follows:

COUNCIL MEMBER ABRAHAM	VOTED	YES
COUNCIL MEMBER GACZEWSKI	VOTED	YES
COUNCIL MEMBER RUFFINO	VOTED	YES
COUNCIL MEMBER WALTER	VOTED	YES
SUPERVISOR COLEMAN	VOTED	YES
PLANNING BOARD MEMBER ANDERSON	VOTED	YES
PLANNING BOARD MEMBER GORSKI	VOTED	YES
PLANNING BOARD MEMBER KEEFE	VOTED	YES
PLANNING BOARD MEMBER KORZENIEWSKI	VOTED	YES
PLANNING BOARD MEMBER MCCRACKEN	VOTED	YES
PLANNING BOARD MEMBER SZYMANSKI	VOTED	YES
PLANNING BOARD CHAIRMAN CONNELLY	VOTED	YES

The Motion to Recommend was thereupon adopted.

October 3, 2016

ADJOURNMENT:

ON MOTION OF COUNCIL MEMBER ABRAHAM AND SECONDED BY COUNCIL MEMBER WALTER FOR ADJOURNMENT OF THE MEETING, which resulted as follows:

COUNCIL MEMBER ABRAHAM	VOTED	YES
COUNCIL MEMBER GACZEWSKI	VOTED	YES
COUNCIL MEMBER RUFFINO	VOTED	YES
COUNCIL MEMBER WALTER	VOTED	YES
SUPERVISOR COLEMAN	VOTED	YES
PLANNING BOARD MEMBER ANDERSON	VOTED	YES
PLANNING BOARD MEMBER GORSKI	VOTED	YES
PLANNING BOARD MEMBER KEEFE	VOTED	YES
PLANNING BOARD MEMBER KORZENIEWSKI	VOTED	YES
PLANNING BOARD MEMBER MCCRACKEN	VOTED	YES
PLANNING BOARD MEMBER SZYMANSKI	VOTED	YES
PLANNING BOARD CHAIRMAN CONNELLY	VOTED	YES

The meeting was adjourned at 6:18 P.M.

Signed _____
Diane M. Terranova, Town Clerk